



THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
Department of Agricultural Resources
**State Reclamation and
Mosquito Control Board**
251 Causeway Street, Suite 500
Boston, MA 02114-2151
<http://www.mass.gov/agr/mosquito/index.ht>



MITT ROMNEY
Governor

KERRY HEALEY
Lt. Governor

Mark S. Buffone, Chairman
Department of Agricultural Resources
Mike Gildesgame
Department of Conservation & Recreation
Gary P. Gonyea
Department of Environmental Protection

STEPHEN R. PRITCHARD
EOEA Secretary

DOUGLAS P. GILLESPIE
MDAR Commissioner

Donna Mitchell
Projects Administrator
Tel: (617) 626-1715
Fax: (617) 626-1850

STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY

WHO: State Reclamation and Mosquito Control Board (SRMCB)
DATE: February 15, 2006
WHERE: 240 Beaver Street, Waltham, MA

PRESENT: Representing

State Reclamation and Mosquito Control Board

Mark Buffone, SRMCB, Chairman
Mike Gildesgame, SRMCB, Member
Gary Gonyea, SRMCB, Member
Donna Mitchell, SRMCB, Projects Administrator

Mosquito Control Project Commissions

Gordon W. Wolfe, Bristol County
Richard Pollack, Norfolk County

Mosquito Control Directors/Superintendents or Assistants

Wayne Andrews, Bristol County Mosquito Control Project
Tim Deschamps, Central Mass Mosquito Control Project
Jack Card, Northeast Mass Mosquito Control and Wetlands District
David Henley, East Middlesex Mosquito Control Project
Tim McGlinchy, Central Mass Mosquito Control Project
Emily Sullivan, Northeast Mass Mosquito Control and Wetlands District
Gabrielle Sakolsky, Cape Cod Mosquito Control Project
John Smith, Norfolk County Mosquito Control Project
Robert "Bob" Thorndike, Plymouth County Mosquito Control Project
Ray Zucker, Plymouth County Mosquito Control Project

**STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY FOR
FEBRUARY 15, 2006**

Others

Sarah Haggerty, Natural Heritage Endangered Species Program
Suzanne Luinis, True North Mapping, Inc.
Misty-Anne Marold, Natural Heritage Endangered Species Program
Rebecca Robich, Harvard School of Public Health

Calling the Meeting to order and Introductions

Chairman Mark Buffone of the State Reclamation and Mosquito Control Board officially open the meeting at 10:10 AM on Wednesday, February 15, 2005 at the UMASS Eastern Extension Center or as some refers to this facility as the Waltham Field Station.

He remarked that serves on the SRMCB as the representative for the Department of Agricultural Resources and took the time to acknowledge the other representatives present on the SRMCB; Gary Gonyea, representing the Department of Environmental Protection and the new member Mike Gildesgame representing the Department of Conservation and Recreation. Chairman Buffone commented that Stephen Burrington Commissioner of the Department of Conservation and Recreation appointed Mike to the SRMCB on January 4, 2006. Mike Gildesgame is the Acting Director, for the Office of Water Resources Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation. He further remarked that Mikes Commissioner stated elegantly in an e-mail to me that Mike is eminently well qualified. I will agree with that statement.

The Chairman turned to Mike and stated that he hoped that this introduction did not put to much pressure on Mike.

For the record, the Chairman noted that all of the mosquito control projects and their Commissioner who participate on the list serve were notified of Mikes appointed to the SRMCB on January 17, 2006.

Finally, the Chairman announced that on behalf of Gary Gonyea and myself, the SRMCB welcomes you aboard and asked each of those in attendance to welcome Mike as well, if they have not done so already.

With that, Chairman Buffone asked the Mike Gildesgame if he wanted to make any remarks at this point.

Mike introduced himself by providing some insight into his background. He mentioned he has been with the DCR (formerly DEM) since 1987 and that he directs the office of Water Resources for DCR. He quipped he has been involved in lots of state policy development as well as implementation for a myriad of different kinds of projects. Finally, he stated that he was looking forward to working with everyone. He commented that this is a role that will require much learning as well as offer a number of challenges

With the three members of the SRMCB introduced and accounted for, Chairman Buffone announced we have a quorum for today's meeting.

**STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY FOR
FEBRUARY 15, 2006**

The Chairman continued by noting that he would be remiss if he did not also acknowledge Donna Mitchell the Projects Administrator for the SRMCB and stated that she has been doing a great job in keeping the fiscal engine of mosquito control running well.

For the record, the Chairman announced that notices of this meeting today have been filed with the Secretary of the Commonwealth and the Executive Office of Administration and Finance, in accordance with Massachusetts General Laws.

As a reminder, he asked that those in attendance be sure to sign the attendance sheet that has been passed around.

Lastly, he recognized a number of other individuals present those being Gordon Wolfe, the current Chairman of the Bristol County Mosquito Control Project and Dr. Richard Pollack commissioner of Norfolk County Mosquito Control Project. He stated that he is always happy when Commissioners take the time to attend the SRMCB meetings.

Rebecca Robich from Harvard School of Public Health who was interviewed for Commissioners for the Suffolk County was also in attendance.

Without haste, he welcomed a couple of individuals from the Natural Heritage Endangered Species Program (NHESP) of the Fish and Game Department Misty-Anne Marold and Sarah Haggerty.

SRMCB member Gary Gonyea suggested that our guests from the NHESP be allowed to do their presentation first by proceeding to agenda item 6. As there was no disagreement by the other members, the SRMCB proceeded to item 6 to hear the status of NHESP GIS mapping efforts. The SRMCB became aware of NHESP mapping efforts and wanted to hear about this effort since the SRMCB is involved with its own mapping efforts of certified organic farms and aquaculture facilities and water supplies in areas where there was a potential for aerial application in order to exclude if feasible these areas.

After the presentation, Chairman Buffone thanked Misty-Anne Marold and Sarah Haggerty.

A short 5-minute recess took place, the meeting reconvened, and the SRMCB moved to agenda item 2.

Just a quick update on some old business.

We had 97 individuals attend the mandatory sexual harassment training on January 19, 2006 with employees from all nine mosquito control districts as well as myself and Donna. I spoke to Donna on whether or not the districts have been invoiced as of this date? Any news, Donna? Donna stated that she spoke to Rodney and the invoice is going through HRD and should be processed shortly. The training was useful and was entertaining as well. This will fulfill our obligation at this point in time and I want to thank you all for your cooperation in this matter.

**STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY FOR
FEBRUARY 15, 2006**

Item 2 Questions and Discussion:

NONE

Item 2 Action Taken:

NONE

Agenda Item 2 Old Business- Brief Updates

Non-Member Communities Project

A formal memo was mailed out during the last 2 weeks to all communities not currently a member of a mosquito control project some 168 cities and towns. The purpose of this memorandum was to solicit information on mosquito control practices conducted by municipalities that are not currently members of an existing Mosquito Control District.

We requested that they complete the attached questionnaire to determine what mosquito control activities are taking place in your municipality.

We enclosed a colorful 62 page publication titled Public Health Confronts the Mosquito published by the Association of State and Territorial Health Officials (ASTHO) to inform them of how to get involved if they were considering the topic of mosquito control program whether an individual one or becoming part of a regional set up.

Responses are coming in about 20 to date and I have asked our computer friends to create an Access data file to create a data file so that follow-ups can be made.

Item 2 Questions and Discussion:

Tim Deschamps inquired as to whether or not there would be a policy for non-member communities. A discussion ensued and it was determined that no policy will be created in the near future, but rather SRMCB would go to the communities and educate them on mosquito control.

Item 2 Action Taken:

NONE

**STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY FOR
FEBRUARY 15, 2006**

Unless there are any questions, lets move on to agenda item 3

AGENDA ITEM #3 Approval of Resolution thanking Charlie Burnham

BACKGROUND

As we all know, Charlie Burnham stepped down after many years of service as representative to the SRMCB. Of course, for those of us who know Charlie, it goes without saying, he will be missed. We admire his loyalty in carrying out a mandate that helps citizens enjoy a mosquito-free environment for both their comfort and health. The SRMCB is grateful to Charlie for all his hard work, dedication, and contributions to the SRMCB and mosquito control in Massachusetts. I hope you have had a moment to extend best wishes to him in all his future endeavors at charlie.burnham@state.ma.us His phone number is 413-256-1601

Gary Gonyea read aloud the following Resolution Honoring Charlie Burnham for the record.

WHEREAS, Charlie Burnham has provided valuable services to the Commonwealth as a representative of the Department of Conservation and Recreation to the State Reclamation and Mosquito Control Board; and

WHEREAS Charlie Burnham has ably served on the Board since 1993, and in consideration of the completion of his duties on the Board his name is being read into the record of the minutes at the State Reclamation and Mosquito Control Board on Wednesday, February 15, 2006 as an outstanding Board member, and

WHEREAS the SRMCB wishes to acknowledge that he will be missed, and demonstrate our appreciation and recognition of his accomplishments over the years;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the State Reclamation and Mosquito Control Board and the districts officially recognize and thank Charlie Burnham for his hard work, dedication, and contributions on behalf of the State Reclamation and Mosquito Control Board and Massachusetts mosquito control.

Item 3 Questions and Discussion:

NONE

**STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY FOR
FEBRUARY 15, 2006**

Item 3 Action Taken:

Once read, Chairman Buffone entertained a motion to approve them as written.

Mark Buffone made a motion to approve the resolution as written and Mike Gildesgame seconded the motion. Hearing no discussion, the vote to accept the resolution carried unanimously. John Smith then requested that we have it in the minutes that the mosquito control projects would like to show their appreciation for Charlie. He further stated that he met Charlie in 1990 during the aerial application and he has done a lot for the projects.

Agenda Item #4: Approval of November 7, 2005 Minutes

Background:

Chairman Buffone noted that the minutes of November 7, 2005 was in order and entertained a motion to approve them as written.

Questions and Discussion:

NONE

Action Taken:

Gary Gonyea made a motion to approve the November 7, 2005 minutes as written and seconded the motion. Mike Gildesgame had to abstain, as he was not in attendance. Hearing no discussion, the vote to approve the minutes of November 7, 2005 carried unanimously.

Agenda Item # 5: Vote to approve Commissioners appointments and re-appointment

Background:

Chairman Buffone proposed that the 2 individuals who were interviewed today Kimberly Martin and Rebecca Robich be recommended for appointment to the Suffolk County Mosquito Control Commission

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION FOR APPOINTMENT

New Appointments

<i>Name</i>	<i>Mosquito Control District</i>	<i>Expiration Date</i>
KIMBERLY MARTIN	Suffolk	11/30/2009
Completing term of Thomas Casey who retired as of 11/30/2004		
REBECCA ROBICH	Suffolk	11/30/2007

Completing term of Lorraine Sullivan recently reappointed until 11/30/2007, who passed away.

**STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY FOR
FEBRUARY 15, 2006**

Questions and Discussion:

With no further discussion, Chairman Buffone entertained a motion to approve and accept the slate of those candidates recommended who have successfully completed the SRMCB's appointment process. Once again Chairman Buffone expressed that he continues to enjoy the relatively new interview process whereby the SRMCB has a chance to meet Candidates and Commissioners. He remarked that the SRMCB has received many good ideas as a result and appreciated the effort these individuals made to be present for interviews.

Action Taken:

Mike Gildesgame made a motion to approve the individuals so noted for appointments. Gary Gonyea seconded the motion. Hearing no discussion, the vote to approve the slate of recommendations carried unanimously.

Chairman Buffone commented that he would, mail out the necessary paperwork by the end of the week, that being letters and certificates of appointments specifying terms of appointment, and requiring candidates to accept appointment as well as requiring appointees to have certificates of appointment notarized and returned to the Board. For new appointments, a letter would be sent to the Chairman of the applicable mosquito control commission of this action so that the new individuals can be welcomed.

Agenda Item #6: Natural Heritage Program Presentation

Background:

Chairman Buffone introduced Misty-Anne Marold from the Division of Fish and Wildlife. The Board was hoping someone from NHESP could attend and give us an update on the Rare and Endangered Species mapping effort. It has come to our attention that NHESP was developing species-specific maps by life history stage. This information would be very valuable in planning for an aerial intervention since Species of Concern (and specific LH stages) could be more readily identified and steps taken to avoid those areas.

I'd like to thank Gary for his work in inviting Misty Anne to our meeting today to address these issues.

As everyone is aware, we slowly but surely mapping sensitive areas or trying to obtain this information so that this data can be used to exclude these sites in the event it is necessary to do an aerial application.

I believe Misty will be discussing their Species Habitat mapping and how those will relate Priority Habitat and potential no spray areas.

Questions and Discussion:

NONE

Action Taken:

NONE

Agenda Item #7 Resmethrin Classification Discussion

Background:

If you recall, I sent out a notice to you all on January 18th about an issue that came to my attention one that I stated we needed to discuss. That issue was that Resmethrin, which is used as a mosquito adulticide, (SCOURGE) has been classified as "**Likely to Be Carcinogenic to Humans**". By (CARC) Cancer Assessment Review Committee of EPA.

As SRMCB Chair I had notified the other members of the SRMCB about this issue and have encouraged them to think about this issue.

My concern was peaked due to the fact that the risk assessment by CARC apparently included residential exposure mosquito abatement scenarios. My other concern was the classic dilemma set up in that due to the An Act to protect Children and Families from Harmful Pesticides now part of the State Pesticide Control Act mosquito control districts and others would be prohibited from using the material on school property setting up a public relations problem

I mentioned that SRMCB is **considering I emphasize the word** a policy that phases out this material for use by districts and locally approved mosquito programs this upcoming season. I based the this on discussions with EPA and just thinking logically about the issue and even discussed with Brad Mitchell have offered my initial thoughts to the SRMCB for a policy that phases resmethrin out for nuisance control only and reserve it primarily for public health uses with restrictions such as its use could not exceed a certain number of times for a particular site or area.

As you know, I want the Board to be out front of these issues. However, at the same time I do not want to tie our hands regarding the tools we need to control mosquitoes since it appears that the entire family of pyrethroids may be come under the same or similar classification

Before any policy, I wanted to post this issue on the list serve and welcome your comment and input.

And additionally, I asked on behalf of the Board for some information from the distributor and manufacturer.

Finally, in light of this potential action, I requested that you might think about holding off on ordering vast quantities of this material for 2006-mosquito season and use up the rest of the materials on hand, and then switch to Sumethrin.

**STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY FOR
FEBRUARY 15, 2006**

So lets discuss it and as we do let me pass out the info I compiled from the districts on resmethrin. A discussion ensued concerning PBOs and use of resmethrin on school properties. Chairman Buffone requested that everyone keep their calendars clear for March 29th, as there will be a session in Waltham with Taryn LaScola, one of our enforcement officials, and we will talk about the school IPM law and mosquito spraying. It will be an educational seminar, as we want everybody to be on the same playing field as our enforcement team because this year any mosquito district that does not comply with the Child Protection Act will be dealt with to the fullest extent of the law. You could show Taryn what you have done to comply and she could give you the feedback and guidance you need.

Also, I ask that each mosquito control commission/project send back to me some basic info on the use of this material. If you do not use it, please tell me so.

The SRMCB would like to obtain information to the following questions.

- 1. How many Commissions/districts use this material?**
- 2. How often is it used?**
- 3. How many gallons and/or pounds are used annually if you do use it?**
- 4. If the Board develops and approves a policy to phase out, what burdens will districts experience or how long would it take to phase out?**
- 5. If you do use it, has use increase, decreased, or remained the same over the past 5 years?**
- 6. Can you live with alternative materials and are they as effective?**
- 7. Can you live with reserving its use for public health situations only with restrictions?**

A couple of reasons stand out for consideration of a policy.

It does affect spraying on school property. The School Integrated pest Management law is now part of the Mass Pesticide Law will prohibit this material on school property due to this classification.

The classification becomes a public relations challenge one that is not easily explained or grasped by the general public. The phrase "Likely to Be Carcinogenic to Humans" is a bit more complicated than the reader would surmise but it is too difficult to explain to the public for their understanding or trying to assure them that exposure risks can be mitigated. In other words, on the face of it, the classification is a bit misleading in that although it sounds bad the material will still OK to use with restrictions and the risks to public negligible if labeling directions followed especially if one educates public how to mitigate risks. Nonetheless, we know that the general public will view it as a material that causes cancer. However, the risk assessment done is highly conservative and based in part on dietary studies of rodents after speaking to Katie Hall from EPA. In fact, she mentioned to me that EPA might reclassify resmethrin from its current restricted use classification to general use. I have asked Steve Kenyon, our toxicologist, to come to one of our future Board meetings to explain the true meaning of this classification. I would like the SRMCB to have a policy in place by the end of May 2006.

If you want to speak to Katie Hall, Chemical Review Manager in the Deregistration Branch II of EPA she can be reached at 703-308-0166. I have not read comments by Bayer Environmental Science the manufacture of the product.

**STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY FOR
FEBRUARY 15, 2006**

Questions and Discussion:
NONE

Action Taken:

I propose we have a special meeting in March to address this issue and perhaps appoint additional Commissioners. We can ask Steve Kenyon to speak to the Board on this issue at this meeting and perhaps other for example representatives from Bayer Environmental Sciences the manufacturer and maybe latest EPA view Also, get the view of AMCA as well before we decide on a policy Finally, I would like someone to make the argument for us that we can see in writing one that can make sense to the public on defending its use

Agenda Item #8: Chain of Command Flow Chart

Background:

One of the biggest issues we have experienced in the past as it relates to emergency interventions for suppression of mosquito borne disease such as EEE or WNV was lack of clear chain of command or decision-making. While it is DPH's role to characterize risk of the diseases to humans and it was not clear whether DPH or SRMCB would make the call for operational interventions.

Gary has been a strong advocate about the importance of a clear "chain of command" for decisions and has offered a suggestion that we take some time today to establish a flow chart as we see it as practitioners.

So let me turn it over to Gary and lets see if we can come up with something that we pass to the decision makers; we have a black board and brainpower.

Gary's suggestions for exercise:

In the interim, each of the districts, if we could have you jot down before you leave, the people who will be bringing in the samples and their phone numbers. Then we need to outline and provide names, phone numbers, email addresses, Faxes, etc. for:

WHO makes specific decisions based on WHAT information; (will it be DPH or Advisory Group? Or will DPH make call based on pre-established guidelines from AG?);

WHO do they notify - up the food chain to Governor and back down to Implementation Staff.

For Implementation - we need the same detail.

Who contacts Aerial Applicators?

Who will notify the districts?

Who will contact EOEa for releasing media information?

Who distributes Media Kit?

**STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY FOR
FEBRUARY 15, 2006**

Who contacts water quality sampling and laboratory staff?

Who determines spray /no spray areas;

Who will notify Fisheries & Wildlife?

Etc.- mapping organic farms, notifying beekeepers,

Who else should we contact – if anyone has names or numbers, let me know.

Gary would like to put the names and numbers in the action plan and would welcome ideas.

Questions and Discussion:

A discussion ensued and Rich Pollack volunteered to create the flow chart, and he has the flow chart software and said it would take him about 3 minutes to do. Gary and Rich will work on this together. Once the flow chart is completed, we can incorporate the chart in our Response Plan to the Secretary.

Action Taken:

A plan will be put together by Feb 28th, and it will go something like this:

In the event there is an emergency, the following vendor information will be contacted to be deployed and mobilized. The following insecticide will be used Sumerthrin. We will have a fact sheet on Sumethrin, a question & answering on Sumethrin and also a piece of paper that says this is the reason why we chose Sumethrin. Mapping has been completed but then it will be in parenthesis and there will some qualifier and then it's going to go on to say that there is a protocol for notification and here is an attached operational checklist. Part of the operational checklist will be to list all the airports with names and contacts. We will then submit it as a draft and refine it. Altho there is no current funding mechanism, DPH has committed to finding a funding mechanism for this. Need to define triggers.

Agenda Item #9: SRMCB Action Plan Items

Background:

The SRMCB has proposed several action items in a plan to address the some of the deficiencies we had in 2005 arbovirus situation

A PROGRESS REPORT was given to the Secretary

Establish Advisory Group IN PROGRESS: *Steps have been taken to carry out the recommendation, but more needs to be done to ensure full implementation.*

A panel has been established to evaluate and review data from DPH and provide timely and objective assessments, and to form and deliver specific conclusions risk of mosquito and arbovirus threats and risk/benefit assessments of intervention strategies to the State Reclamation and Mosquito Control Board and each state agency Commissioner. The panel to date is composed of the following representatives:

**STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY FOR
FEBRUARY 15, 2006**

1. Dr. Asim Ahmed, MD at the Children's Hospital-Boston
2. Jere Downing, Executive Director of the Cranberry Institute
3. Dr. Tony Kiszewski (Public Health Entomologist) at the Harvard School of Public Health
4. Dr. Richard Pollack, Research Associate in the Department of Immunology and Infectious Disease at the Harvard School of Public Health
5. James Leach, New York State Toxicologist

- One more representative is being sought
- DPH contacted today to accept/agree to the panel membership
- MOU needs to be drafted to set process for group to receive info from DPH

FUNDING MECHANISM NO ACTION: *The recommendation is valid, but has not yet been addressed, to the best of my knowledge.*

SRMCB has contacted DPH today regarding this matter and has requested status of the agreed action as proposed in the plan i.e. DPH coordinate meetings with Administration and Finance and recommend the establishment of a permanent funding mechanism such as a reserve account earmarked for spending for mosquito borne disease risk aerial adulticide interventions.

GIS IN PROGRESS: *Steps have been taken to carry out the recommendation, but more needs to be done to ensure full implementation.*

SRMCB has contacted DEP and has asked mosquito control districts to have their areas mapped. The Northeast (Essex County) has begun and is estimated to be completed by April. Plymouth County has been completed with a few refinements needed. DEP is working to complete this work with contractor hired by mosquito control projects.

SRMCB/DAR has begun to contact groups and individuals to obtain precise location data for locations of apiaries, certified organic farms, aquaculture farms for other areas of the state so that these sensitive sites can be avoided if an aerial spray takes place. Natural Heritage Program, Fish & Game is creating an updated no-spray layer for the entire state and will be contacted to find out when this work will be completed.

PESTICIDE FACT SHEETS IN PROGRESS: *Steps have been taken to carry out the recommendation, but more needs to be done to ensure full implementation.*

SRMCB/DAR has completed 3 fact sheets on 3 potential chemical choices for adult mosquito control pertaining to adulticides have received priority including the insecticides malathion, resmethrin, and sumithrin.. Essentially we downloaded these fact sheets from the Department of Health in New York and pasted to our letterhead.

DPH Center for Environmental Health has been contacted today to seek any other approved fact sheets they have developed as it relates to the plan.

**STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY FOR
FEBRUARY 15, 2006**

PRIORITIZE PESTICIDE (S) AND MEANS FOR AERIAL APPLICATION COMPLETED:
The recommendation has been implemented fully or an ongoing process has been initiated to ensure its implementation

SRMCB/ DAR/DPH-Center for Environmental Health/ DEP-ORS have reevaluated currently registered mosquitocides, and have agreed verbally that sumithrin (ANVIL) would be a product of choice in the event an area-wide adulticide application is deemed necessary. It was the product agreed upon to be used in 2005. Additional action is desired to have this put in writing.

MEDIA KITS IN PROGRESS: *Steps have been taken to carry out the recommendation, but more needs to be done to ensure full implementation.*

SRMCB/DAR has contacted the EOE Press Office Joe Ferston yesterday to determine what materials will be required to prepare a media kit to be distributed prior to any planned aerial operation

ADVANCED NOTIFICATION IN PROGRESS: *Steps have been taken to carry out the recommendation, but more needs to be done to ensure full implementation.*

SRMCB/DAR has contacted the EOE Press Office Joe Ferston yesterday requesting information on what is needed to develop an advance notification protocol for area wide aerial application. SRMCB/DAR will contact state of California who recently treated thousands of acres for West Nile Virus and request samples of their notification protocols

SAMPLING PROTOCOLS IN PROGRESS: *Steps have been taken to carry out the recommendation, but more needs to be done to ensure full implementation.*

SRMCB/DAR/DEP have completed a basic protocol and agreement for water sampling and testing by the UMASS pesticide analytical lab/DEP/DAR in the event an aerial application is deemed necessary. Will be finalized by end of February

OPERATIONAL CHECKLIST IN PROGRESS: *Steps have been taken to carry out the recommendation, but more needs to be done to ensure full implementation.*

The SRMCB/DAR/ mosquito control districts is gathering logistical and operation details such as identifying landing and take off sites etc to prepare a checklist in preparation for an aerial application. Will be completed by the end of February

Questions and Discussion:

Action Taken:

**STATE RECLAMATION AND MOSQUITO CONTROL BOARD MINUTES/SUMMARY FOR
FEBRUARY 15, 2006**

Agenda Item #10: Next Meeting Date

Background

Chairman Buffone commented that the SRMCB voted to establish a pre-set schedule at the beginning of the New Year for the months of January, March, June, and October on the second Wednesday of each month and as needed. Although we have followed this schedule the SRMCB has had to make changes, including today's meeting, which was originally voted to be scheduled for February 8th.

The next meeting is scheduled for June 7th, and November 8th, but we may consider an earlier date because we need to make a formal review and certification of mosquito control district budgets via a vote at one of its meetings for DOR. According to our policy, we need by **May 15th**

- Final budget figures for upcoming fiscal year (districts and reclamation board) FY 07

Note: These figures will appear on final cherry sheets released once the Governor has approved the state budget. These numbers should include any changes to the estimated numbers submitted in the Governor's Budget Recommendation (House 1).

IMPORTANT: The Board must meet in May of the current year to certify upcoming fiscal year budgets.

Last year we certified the budgets on May 25th so I propose we meet on Wednesday May 24, 2006 no later than May 31st instead of June 7th

Questions and Discussion:

It was agreed the next meeting would be held in Waltham on May 24 2006.

Action Taken:

Mark Buffone made a motion to accept May 24, 2006 and adjourn the meeting at 12:25. So moved. Seconded by Gary Gonyea.

Meeting adjourned at 12:25 PM

Respectfully submitted:

Mark S. Buffone, Chairman
And Donna Mitchell, Projects Administrator